Assigned for all purposes to: Torrance Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Gary Tanaka 1 Michael Reck, State Bar No. 209895 mreck@andersonadvocates.com 2 JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES PA 12011 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 700 3 Los Angeles, California 90049 Tel: 310-357-2425 4 Fax: 651-297-6543 5 Karen Barth Menzies, State Bar No. 180234 kbm@kbmlaw.com 6 KBM Law Corp. 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1400 7 Los Angeles, California, 90045 Telephone: (310) 363-0030 8 Facsimile: (310) 861-0168 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff JULIA MISLEY 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 This Matter Relates to: Case No.: 22TRCV01604 14 JULIA MISLEY, formerly known as JULIA **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR:** HOLCOMB, an individual, 15 (1) SEXUAL BATTERY (CIVIL CODE Plaintiff. §1708.5); 16 (2) SEXUAL ASSAULT; (3) INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF VS. 17 EMOTIONAL DISTRESS DEFENDANT DOE 1, an individual; and DOES 2 18 through DOE 50, inclusive, 19 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Defendant 20 Plaintiff JULIA (HOLCOMB) MISLEY brings this action against Defendants 21 DEFENDANT DOE 1, and DOES 2 through 50, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants"), and 22 23 based on information and belief alleges as follows: 24 INTRODUCTION 1. Plaintiff is a survivor of childhood sexual abuse, sexual battery, assault, and 25 molestation at the hands of DEFENDANT DOE 1. When Plaintiff was 16 years old, 26 27 DEFENDANT DOE 1 used his role, status, and power as a well-known musician and rock star to 28 gain access to, groom, manipulate, exploit, sexually assault Plaintiff over the course of over three

years in numerous states across the country, including in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. As a result of DEFENDANT DOE 1'S sexual abuse and assault, Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional, physical and psychological injury, including humiliation, shame, and guilt, economic loss, economic capacity, earning loss and permanent emotional distress.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Article VI, section 10 of the California Constitution and section 410.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court under Code of Civil Procedure section 395 because a substantial part of the conduct alleged giving rise to the violations of law alleged herein occurred in Los Angeles County.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is an adult female residing in the State of Texas. Plaintiff was sexually abused as a minor. Plaintiff brings this Complaint pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 340.1, as amended by Assembly Bill 218, for the child sexual assault she suffered at the hands of Defendant. Thus, Plaintiff's claims for damages suffered as a result of childhood sexual assault are timely filed as they are filed within three years of January 1, 2020. Plaintiff is over the age of forty (40) years old. Therefore, the Plaintiff has filed a declaration from a mental healthcare practitioner, and an attorney declaration for each named defendant in this action, pursuant to the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure §340.1.
- 5. The childhood sexual abuse, harassment and/or assault of Plaintiff occurred in numerous states around the country including California and Los Angeles County.
- 6. DEFENDANT DOE 1, the alleged perpetrator, at all times mentioned herein was and is an adult male individual. By 1973, DEFENDANT DOE 1 was a renowned and well-known musician and leading member of a world-famous rock band. By 1973 DEFENDANT DOE 1 had acquired wealth, stature, and power as a result of his career and status as a rock star. This status afforded DEFENDANT DOE 1 particular power and influence over minors including Plaintiff.
 - 7. On information and belief Plaintiff alleges that the true names and capacities,

whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants named herein as DOES 2 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to the Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when such have been ascertained. Upon information and belief, each of the said Doe Defendants is responsible in some manner under *Code of Civil Procedure* §§340.1(a)(1), (2), (3), and 340.1(c) for the occurrences herein alleged, and were a legal cause of the childhood sexual assault and intentional infliction of emotional distress which resulted in injury to the Plaintiff as alleged herein.

- 8. At all times mentioned herein, there existed a unity of interest and ownership among Defendants and each of them, such that any individuality and separateness between Defendants, and each of them, ceased to exist. Defendants and each of them, were the successors-in-interest and/or alter egos of the other Defendants, and each of them, in that they purchased, controlled, dominated and operated each other without any separate identity, observation of formalities, or other manner of division. To continue maintaining the facade of a separate and individual existence between and among Defendants, and each of them, would allow Defendants to perpetrate a fraud and an injustice.
- 9. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them were the agents, representatives and/or employees of each and every other Defendant. In doing the things hereinafter alleged, Defendants and each of them, were acting within the course and scope of said alternative personality, capacity, identity, agency, representation and/or employment and were within the scope of their authority, whether actual or apparent. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them were the managers, trustees, partners, servants, joint venturers, shareholders, contractors, and/or employees of each and every other Defendant, and the acts and omissions herein alleged were done by them, acting individually, through such capacity and within the scope of their authority, and with the permission and consent of each and every other Defendant and that said conduct was thereafter ratified by each and every other Defendant, and that each of them is jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. Minor Plaintiff Meets and is Assaulted by DEFENDANT DOE 1

10. On information and belief, in 1973, Plaintiff came to know an individual who was well known in the music community as associating with girls and young women who would become accessible and susceptible to famous musicians. In 1973, DEFENDANT DOE 1 performed a concert in Portland, Oregon, and Plaintiff was invited backstage by agents of defendant. This was the first time Plaintiff met DEFENDANT DOE 1. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff had turned 16 one month earlier. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1 was 25 years old.

11. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1 showed unusual interest in Plaintiff immediately and, after speaking with her backstage DEFENDANT DOE 1 took Plaintiff and another individual to his hotel room. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1 then required the other individual to leave so that he was alone with Plaintiff. Among other topics, Plaintiff and DEFENDANT DOE 1 discussed Plaintiff's age and Plaintiff informed him of her age. Defendant Doe 1 inquired where Plaintiff's parents were and why she was out all night by herself. Plaintiff informed DEFENDANT DOE 1 of struggles she was facing at home. DEFENDANT DOE 1 performed various acts of criminal sexual conduct upon Plaintiff that night. DEFENDANT DOE 1 had Plaintiff stay with him in his hotel that night and then sent her home the next morning in a taxicab. Before she left, DEFENDANT DOE 1 invited Plaintiff to Seattle for his band's next concert. Upon information and belief, He said he would buy the plane ticket so that she could travel separately from him since she was a minor and could not travel with DEFENDANT DOE 1 across state lines. Plaintiff used the plane ticket provided by DEFENDANT DOE 1 to fly to Seattle for his concert. DEFENDANT DOE 1 had Plaintiff stay in his hotel room after the show that night and again DEFENDANT DOE 1 performed various sexual acts upon Plaintiff. Plaintiff flew back to Portland the next day with the ticket provided by Defendant.

12. Upon information and belief, after the show in Seattle, DEFENDANT DOE 1 continued to pursue Plaintiff by frequently telephoning her at her home, making various

statements to induce her to visit him again including, but not limited to, telling her that he wrote a song for her that he recorded with his band, and that he wished she could be in the recording studio with him so he could sing it to her.

13. Upon information and belief, once Plaintiff finished her sophomore school year, DEFENDANT DOE 1 caused her to travel to Boston to stay with him. Upon information and belief, within a weeks, DEFENDANT DOE 1 told Plaintiff that he didn't want her to return to Portland for school, that he wanted her to stay with him and that he wanted to continue taking her on the road with him and his band promising to provide for her as she traveled with him. During this time, he continued to sexually assault Plaintiff. Plaintiff, a minor, was powerless to resist DEFENDANT DOE 1's power, fame and substantial financial ability. DEFENDANT DOE 1 coerced and persuaded Plaintiff into believing this was a "romantic love affair".

II. DEFENDANT DOE 1 Becomes Plaintiff's Guardian and the Assaults Continue

14. Upon information and belief, in approximately 1974, DEFENDANT DOE 1 and his agents took actions for DEFENDANT DOE 1 to become the guardian for Plaintiff so that he could more easily travel with Plaintiff and avoid criminal prosecution. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1 met with Plaintiff's mother and convinced her to sign over the guardianship of her daughter to him. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1 made various promises and inducements to Plaintiff's mother assuring her of the wellbeing of Plaintiff including, but not limited to promising he would enroll her in school; that he would support her; and provide her with better medical care and support than her mother could at the time. DEFENDANT DOE 1 did not meaningfully follow through on these promises and instead continued to travel with, assault and provide alcohol and drugs to Plaintiff.

III.DEFENDANT DOE 1 Impregnates Plaintiff and Coerces an Abortion

15. Upon information and belief, in approximately 1975, Plaintiff became pregnant as a result of the sexual acts by DEFENDANT DOE 1 causing him to be simultaneously both the father of Plaintiff's unborn child and her legal guardian. Upon information and belief DEFENDANT DOE 1 was the sole source of income, transportation and support for Plaintiff. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1 when informed of the pregnancy instructed

Plaintiff that she could not seek prenatal medical care because "he would get in trouble" for fathering the child when inquiries of paternity arose with the medical providers. Plaintiff continued to follow the instructions and commands of DEFENDANT DOE 1 who continued to woo and exert undue influence over Plaintiff by, among other actions, informing Plaintiff that he wrote, and his band recorded another song referring to and inspired by her.

- 16. Upon information and belief, in approximately fall of 1975 when Plaintiff was pregnant, DEFENDANT DOE 1 while touring with his band left Plaintiff home alone in DEFENDANT DOE 1's Massachusetts apartment with little food, money and without a car. Upon information and belief, a fire occurred in the apartment and Plaintiff lost consciousness from smoke inhalation. Plaintiff regained consciousness in a Catholic hospital with DEFENDANT DOE 1 at her bedside. Medical staff informed them that Plaintiff would make a full recovery and the baby was unharmed.
- 17. Upon information and belief thereafter, DEFENDANT DOE 1 pressured and coerced Plaintiff to have an abortion by threatening that he would send her back to her family and cease to support and love her. Agents of DEFENDANT DOE 1 were present and assisted with arrangement for the abortion which needed to be performed at a different facility since the facility treated Plaintiff was Catholic and an abortion was not available. Plaintiff relented and the abortion was performed.

IV. DEFENDANT Causes Additional Harm to Plaintiff by His Publications for Profit

- 18. Plaintiff made a conscious decision to leave and escape the music and drug addled world seeking to be free from the sexualized culture created by DEFENDANT DOE 1 and the industry. Plaintiff returned to Portland and over the years rebuilt her life, obtained a GED, attended college, and became active in her Christian faith. She met her husband, became married and started a family, repairing her soul through faith and family. Plaintiff became deeply devoted to the Catholic faith which celebrated the sanctity of life as she sought comfort, counseling and solace with her priest. As a result of the abuse, Plaintiff kept her private shame in silence and secrecy.
 - 19. That life was shattered when DEFENDANT DOE 1 made widespread publications

and statements for profit. The callous behavior by DEFENDANT DOE 1 included publishing of his memoirs and/or books describing his abuse of Plaintiff without her knowledge or consent. Those memoirs characterize the child sex assaults of Plaintiff as a romantic, loving relationship without her knowledge or consent. DEFENDANT DOE 1 made these statements with the intent of garnering various benefits including fame and financial benefit for himself and his managers/agents/publishers without the consent or permission of Plaintiff and to her detriment. As a result of DEFENDANT DOE 1's statements and writings, DEFENDANT DOE 1 imposed involuntary infamy upon Plaintiff. She suffered and continues to suffer deep emotional harm as a result. The involuntary infamy and public dissemination of Plaintiff's assaults has aggravated and exacerbated the harm caused by the assaults and continues to prevent healing of the trauma and creates additional trauma.

20. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT DOE 1's memoirs and statements confirm and confess the crimes he perpetrated upon Plaintiff including:

"She was 16, she knew how to nasty ... with my bad self being twenty-six and she barely old enough to drive and sexy as hell, I just fell madly in love with her... She was my heart's desire, my partner in crimes of passion... I was so in love I almost took a teen bride. I went and slept at her parent's house for a couple of nights and her parent's fell in love with me, signed paper over for me to have custody, so I wouldn't get arrested if I took her out of state. I took her on tour with me."

- 21. By including Plaintiff's name in the acknowledgements, he left the readers and the public without any doubt of Plaintiff's identity. Soon after, Plaintiff was in line at a grocery store and saw a picture of herself on a tabloid that referred to her as DEFENDANT DOE 1's teen lover. The caption under Plaintiff's photo read, "She was 15 when they fell in love. He's described her as having 'more legs than a bucket of chicken.' ... attempts to contact Julia have been unsuccessful."
- 22. The article went on to describe certain details including the fire that nearly killed her and the coerced abortion, these were now published along with her status as a victim of sexual assault subjecting her to involuntary infamy.

- 23. DEFENDANT DOE 1's ongoing pattern of conduct including, but not limited his lurid references about her, his public statements, publications, the widespread public interest of DEFENDANT DOE 1's actions violated Plaintiff's privacy, requiring her to make apologies and disclosures to her husband, children, family, and friends, that she never would have absent his malicious publication of her details in his books. Plaintiff has suffered specific and additional trauma and damages because of the public statements made by DEFENDANT DOE 1.
- 24. DEFENDANT DOE 1 knew or should have known that his publication of Plaintiff's private details has subjected Plaintiff to public ridicule, harassment, trauma and aggravation and continuation of the harm caused by the sexual assaults.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the childhood sexual assault, harassment and abuse committed against the Plaintiff by DEFENDANT DOE 1, Plaintiff has suffered personal physical injury of sexual assault, and has and will continue to suffer, psychological, mental and emotional distress, including but not limited to depression, anxiety, anger/agitation, loss of appetite, trouble concentrating, feeling degraded, loss of enjoyment of life, guilt, shame, humiliation, embarrassment, fear, fatigue, helplessness, loneliness, nightmares, PTSD, impairment of relationships, loss of self-esteem, sleeplessness, stomach problems, stress, difficulty with trust, and all associated economic injury.
- 26. These damages were all suffered as general, special and consequential damages of Plaintiff, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION <u>SEXUAL BATTERY (CIVIL CODE §1708.5)</u> (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 27. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained herein above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 28. Between approximately 1973 and 1975, DEFENDANT DOE 1, intentionally, recklessly and wantonly did acts which were intended to, and did result in harmful and offensive contact with intimate parts of Plaintiff's person. Plaintiff was subjected to multiple instances of sexual assault by DEFENDANT DOE 1, during Plaintiff's time as a minor.

- 29. DEFENDANT DOE 1 did the aforementioned acts with the intent to cause a harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part of Plaintiff's person and would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. Further, said acts did cause a harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part of Plaintiff's person that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity.
- 30. The aforementioned acts constituted criminal sexual conduct pursuant to the California Penal Code.
- 31. Because of DEFENDANT DOE 1's position of authority over Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's mental and emotional state, and Plaintiff's young age, Plaintiff was unable to, and did not, give meaningful consent to such acts.
- 32. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts of DEFENDANT DOE 1, Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries to her person, all of which are damages in an amount to be shown according to proof and within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 33. In subjecting the Plaintiff to the wrongful treatment herein described, DEFENDANT DOE 1, acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, to constitute malice and oppression under California *Civil Code* §3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by the court, against DEFENDANT DOE 1, in a sum to be shown according to proof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION SEXUAL ASSAULT (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 34. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained herein as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 35. DEFENDANT DOE 1, in doing the things herein alleged, including intending to subject Plaintiff to numerous instances of sexual abuse and molestation, intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, or intended to put Plaintiff in imminent apprehension of such contact.
 - 36. In doing the things herein alleged, Plaintiff was put in imminent apprehension of a

5 6

7

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

///

///

///

26

27

28 ///

harmful or offensive contact by DEFENDANT DOE 1, and actually believed DEFENDANT DOE 1 had the ability to make harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person.

- 37. Plaintiff did not consent to DEFENDANT DOE 1's intended harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, or intent to put Plaintiff in imminent apprehension of such contact. Additionally, because Plaintiff was a minor during the time herein alleged and lacked the ability to consent to sexual contact with any person.
- In doing the things herein alleged, DEFENDANT DOE 1 violated Plaintiff's right, 38. pursuant to Civil Code §43, of protection from bodily restraint or harm, and from personal insult. In doing the things herein alleged, DEFENDANT DOE 1 violated his duty, pursuant to Civil Code §1708, to abstain from injuring the person of Plaintiff or infringing upon Plaintiff's rights.
- 39. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress including embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliations, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and will continue to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and/or has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and based thereon alleges that the conduct of DEFENDANT DOE was oppressive, malicious and despicable in that it was intentional and done in conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others, and were carried out with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's right to be free from such tortious behavior, such as to constitute oppression, fraud or California Civil Code §3294, entitling Plaintiff to malice pursuant to damages against DEFENDANT DOE in an amount appropriate to punish and set an example of DEFENDANT DOE.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

As to Both the Child Sex Assaults and Causing the Ensuing Involuntary Infamy for Defendant's Profit (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 41. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. DEFENDANT DOE 1 intended his outrageous behavior and knew or should have known that extreme emotional distress would likely result. DEFANDANT DOE 1 consciously and intentionally orchestrated, conducted and participated in the sexual assaults of Plaintiff while she was a minor. In an ongoing pattern of conduct that has existed since the time of the assaults through present day, DEFANDANT DOE 1 has intentionally publicized the acts he perpetrated upon Plaintiff for his profit and fame. This conduct reached crescendo when his multiple books were published describing the assaults of Plaintiff and other traumatic, painful and private matters for Plaintiff who was a child and victim of a sex crime. DEFANDANT DOE 1 knew or should have known Plaintiff would be seriously and irreparable harmed.
- 43. When DEFANDANT DOE 1's assaults of Plaintiff ceased, he refused to let Plaintiff heal and keep the sexual abuse private from her community and family. While Plaintiff had kept her past private, DEFENDANT DOE 1's conduct included, but was not limited to publishing information about his assaults of Plaintiff that caused the public to identify Plaintiff as a victim of childhood sexual assault, trauma and a coerced abortion.
- 44. DEFENDANT DOE 1's conduct as alleged throughout this complaint was outrageous in various ways including, but not limited to, assaulting Plaintiff and displaying his abuse of her at the time it was occurring and from that day forward to the present. Because of the status of DEFANDANT DOE 1 as a world famous rock star he achieved special status and power in the media and world generally. DEFANDANT DOE 1 abused this position of power afforded to him by fame by describing his assaults of Plaintiff in various media outlets including, but not limited to his books, memoirs and other public statements knowing that Plaintiff would be

susceptible to mental distress and acting intentionally or unreasonably with full recognition that his acts would cause mental distress.

- 45. DEFENDANT DOE 1's conduct exceeded all bounds of decency and is odious and utterly intolerable in a civilized society.
 - 46. DEFENDANT DOE 1's conduct was intentional and/or reckless.
- 47. DEFENDANT DOE 1's conduct caused emotional distress in the minor Plaintiff and this emotional distress was and continues to be severe and extreme.
- 48. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer extreme emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, anxiety, fear, nightmares, terror, shame, symptoms of PTSD, loss of enjoyment, loss of trust, guilt, loss of income, and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life, and/or has incurred and/or will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling.
- 49. As a result of the above noted conduct, Plaintiff has against her will become a central figure in a scandal that has deprived her of the ability to proceed under a pseudonym or "Jane Doe" in this very lawsuit because confidentially of her identity, likeness and privacy rights normally afforded to a victim of child sex crimes was ripped aside by DEFANDANT DOE 1's actions. DEFANDANT DOE 1 forced upon Plaintiff a constant state of involuntary infamy. This infamy manifests as disgrace, dishonor, disrepute and feeling a constant state of being known for a depraved and shameful sexual act (when in reality Plaintiff was the victim of a bad act childhood sexual assault).
- 50. As a result of the above noted conduct, Plaintiff was required to make disclosures of private, traumatic, painful and personal nature from her personal life that would not have been made otherwise. These disclosures caused Plaintiff extreme and ongoing damages and pain and suffering.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

1	1.	For past, present and future	general damages in an amount to be determined at	
2		trial;		
3	2.	For past, present and future	special damages, including but not limited to past,	
4		present and future lost earni	ings, economic damages and others, in an amount to be	
5		determined at trial;		
6	3.	Any appropriate statutory damages, including but not limited to attorneys' fees;		
7	4.	For costs of suit;		
8	5.	For pre- and post- judgment interest as allowed by law;		
9	6.	For attorneys' fees pursuant to the aforementioned statutes and otherwise		
10		allowable by law:		
11		a. California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5;		
12	7.	For exemplary and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;		
13	8.	3. For disgorgement of all monies and profits derived from defendant's memoirs,		
14		statements and publications; and,		
15	9. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.			
16				
17	DATED: December 27, 2022		JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES PA	
18		,		
19			Mike Reck	
20			1. and reck	
21			MICHAEL RECK Jeff Anderson & Associates,	
22			Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
23			JULIA MISLEY	
24			KBM LAW CORP.	
25			KW.	
26	The page			
27			KAREN BARTH MENZIES Attorney for Plaintiff,	
28			JULIA MISLEY	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL A trial by jury is hereby demanded by Plaintiff. DATED: December 27, 2022 **JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES PA** Mike Reck MICHAEL RECK Jeff Anderson & Associates, Attorneys for Plaintiff, JULIA MISLEY KBM LAW CORP. KAREN BARTH MENZIES Attorney for Plaintiff, JULIA MISLEY